# Comparative study of the feeding of spathes of three maize varieties (wari, espoir, SR21) and Panicum maximum C1 on the zootechnical performance of cattle

# \*SANA Youssoufou<sup>1,3</sup>, SANOU Jacob<sup>1</sup>, KIEMA Sébatien<sup>1</sup>, SAWADOGO Louis<sup>1.3</sup> and KABORE-ZOUNGRANA Chantal<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA), 04 BP 8645 Ouagadougou 04, Burkina Faso

<sup>2</sup>Professeur titulaire, Université Nazi Boni de Bobo-Dioulasso 01 BP 1091 Bobo-Dioulasso 01 (Burkina Faso)

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire d'Etude et de Recherche des Ressources Naturelles et des Sciences de l'Environnement (LERNSE/UNB)

\*Corresponding Author Email: ysana2@yahoo.fr, Tel. (cel): +226 - 70722787

The study was carried out in a peasant community in Niangoloko. This study aims to develop feeding rations incorporating spathes from three varieties of maize (Espoir, SR21, Wari) and straw from Panicum maximumC1 were tested on cattle. To this basic food we added a protein complex. These animals were divided into three (4) lots of three (4) cattle. The animals were thus fed with feed consisting of a basic mixture, three varieties of corn (Espoir, Wari, and SR21) and Panicum maximum C1. Ration1 consists of a mixture of Basic Love and Panicum maximum C1; Ration2: a mixture of Basic Food and Wari; Ration3: a mixture of Basic Food and Espoir, Ration 4 consists of a mixture of

Basic and SR21. The results suggest that Lot 2 (wari) and Lot 3 (Espoir) rations with IC  $0.73 \pm 0.36$  and  $0.58 \pm 0.31a$  respectively; GMQ  $271.23 \pm 63.97q/d$ 

and 344,69 $\pm$  67, 65g/d are technically and economically more efficient than Lot 1 rations (Panicum) and lot 4(SR21) or IC 0,97 $\pm$  0,68 and 0,97 $\pm$  0,59

respectively, of GMQ 215,28 ±18,89g/j et 209,13± 34,67g/d.

Keywords: blow, Corns, Performances, Economic, zootechnic

Abstract



\*Corresponding Author

\*SANA Youssoufou 1,3

<sup>1</sup>Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA), 04 BP 8645 Ouagadougou 04, Burkina Faso

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire d'Etude et de Recherche des Ressources Naturelles et des Sciences de l'Environnement (LERNSE/UNB)

> \*Corresponding Author Email: ysana2@yahoo.fr, Tel. (cel): +226 – 70722787

# Introduction

In recent years, the cultivation of maize has experienced spectacular growth both in Burkina Faso and in West Africa. In Burkina Faso, maize is the third cereal both from the point of view of cultivated area and of production, after sorghum and millet. It occupies 16% of the cultivated areas (DGPSA / MAHRH, 2009). For almost 40 years, fodder corn has been the main fodder for the winter rations of dairy cows (Khan et al., 2014) and fattened bull calves (Arsić et al., 2012). In regions where its cultivation is possible the corn spathe has become the staple food during the dry season for cattle with high production needs (bull calves, dairy cows). The areas devoted to the cultivation of maize have taken a spectacular expansion in Burkina Faso thanks to the use of hybrid varieties. The causes of this extension are certainly multiple but the three main causes seem to be the following: a) the cultivation of maize is fully mechanizable; b) corn is a weed crop capable of providing a high production per hectare (in the form of fodder or grains) provided that varieties well adapted to the region, proper cultivation techniques and manuring are used; c) the uses of corn in animal feed are multiple Demarquilly et al. (1969). According to Sanou (1996) of all field crops, corn has the potential to intensify its cultivation. Indeed, the whole plant can either be used as green fodder. The ear or grain harvested wet or harvested dry or dried after harvest is used for the feeding of domestic animals.

While the nutritional value of the corn kernel is well known, that of the ear and the whole plant is much less. Since the latest work by Barrière et al. (2005), no study has been carried out to analyze the in vivo digestibility of new corn. Barrière et al. (2005) notably mentioned a marked reduction in the digestibility of the plant walls between varieties from the 1950s and current varieties, despite a better specialization of corn for use as fodder or grain. New varieties known as "Stay Green" have appeared on the market for several years, and are characterized by the ability of their stems to remain green for a long time so that the grain part accumulates more slowly the dry matter (Arriola et al., 2012). What are the digestibility and nutritional value of the corn plant or the cob (with its husks), how do they vary with age? At what stage of maturity should the plant be harvested to obtain the maximum nutritional value or the maximum quantity of nutrients per hectare? We do not have data, it is also unclear whether it is more interesting for ruminants to harvest the whole corn plant or simply the ear and what is the acceptability of corn grown in green? It is therefore important to take stock of the factors that vary its nutritional value. To answer these questions. Thus, the intensification of animal production necessarily involves optimizing the use of agricultural by-products, notably corn husks, which are an appreciable source of locally available fodder (Yanra, 2006). Corn husks are modified leaves that wrap and protect the ear of the corn (Zea mays subsp. Mays). These are particular leaves, 10 to 20 in number, with widely spaced veins, which contribute to photosynthesis1. They are born on very short internodes which form the "peduncle" of the female inflorescence, or ear, of the corn, and underlie non-functional buds. At maturity, they dry out around the ear and persist until harvest. The use of corn husks in the food supplementation of animals could be an alternative to overcome the food deficit during the dry season. It is with this in mind that the present study was initiated. We studied, during 4 trials, the feeding and acceptability of the husks of 3 varieties of corn (SR21, Wari, Espoir) compared to Panicum maximum C1 at the mature stage. The objective is to assess the effect of the use of corn husks on the growth performance of young cattle.

# **Material and Method**

#### Test area

The test was carried out in Niangoloko in a farming environment, located in the west of Burkina Faso in West Africa. The climate is tropical sub humid, with about 1025 mm of rain in single mode from April to October. Average minimum and maximum temperatures vary between 23 ° C in January and 31 ° C in May, respectively.

# **Biological material**

The study involved 12 uncastrated male zebu cattle aged around 3 years. These animals have been rented from breeders. Four batches of three animals were made up. The average weight of the animal at the start of the experiment varied respectively as lot1 (Panicum) 245  $\pm$ 5kg, lot2 (Wari) 205  $\pm$  5 kg, lot3 (Hope) 179  $\pm$  8.kg and lot4 (SR21). 246  $\pm$  4.The animals were identified using numbered earrings. They were kept under observation for two weeks. The animals were weighed and dewormed internally and externally and vaccinated against the various diseases of the area at the start of the experiment

# Rations tested

Each batch was assigned to one of the four rations of which four iso-proteins were formulated from Panicum maximum C1 straw, three varieties of corn husks (Wari, Espoir, SR21) from soybean haul, seed additional production cotton, molasses, lick stone and water (Table 1).

The plant material consists of: Panicum maximum C1 cut at the ripening stage (straw), Spathes of three varieties of corn (Wari, Espoir and SR21).

We have four (4) lots each comprising three animals. The rations are weighed each morning and kept in nylon bags. On these bags we note the animal number and the lot. We have a total of 8.55 kg of dry matter distributed as follows:

- in the morning, 2.50 kg of basic ration spathe of corn or Panicum maximum C1, 0.5 kg of soya bean, 1 kg of the complex, 1 kg of cotton seed and molasses solution;
- in the evening, 2.50kg of basic ration of corn spathe or panicum and 1kg of cottonseed, molasses solution and water.

We have the 5kg lick stone in each batch.

#### Table 1: Composition of rations in percentage

Ingredients	Lots%			
	1	2	3	4
Panicum maximum C1	58,82	0	0	0
Spathe Wari	0	58,82	0	0
Spathe Espoir	0	0	58,82	0
Spathe SR21	0	0	0	58,82
Soy Bean	5,88	5,88	5,88	5,88
Cotton seed	23,53	23,53	23,53	23,53
Production complex	11,76	11,76	11,76	11,76
Melasse				
Lick stone + water	Ad libitum	Ad libitum	Ad libitum	Ad libitum
Totals	100	100	100	100

Complex: 50% priced soybeans and 50% cottonseed meals). Molasses diluted in water, 250ml of water per 600g of corn spathe (Chenost and kayoulit, 1997, Lemoufouet et al., 2014) *Experimental apparatus* corresponds to the base ration (Panicum maximum C1,

After formulating the rations, the treatments were determined. The twelve 3-year-old cattle, with an average live weight (PV) of  $218.75 \pm 32.66$  kg ( $179 \pm 8$  to  $246 \pm 4$  kg) were divided into four lots of 4 heads each. Each lot has been assigned to one of four lots. Lot1

corresponds to the base ration (Panicum maximum C1, lot2 corresponds to the base ration (Wari), lot3 corresponds to the base ration (Hope), lot4 corresponds to the base ration (SR21).

The study was carried out using a batch system (Table 2).

Lots	Lot1 (Panicum maximum C1)	Lot2 (Spathe Wari)	Lot3 (Spathe Espoir)	Lot4 (Spathe SR21)
	Soy bean	Soy bean	Soy bean	Soy bean
Rations	Cotton seed	Cotton seed	Cotton seed	Cotton seed
	Production Complex	Production Complex	Production Complex	Production Complex
Number of animals	3	3	3	3
Average initial weight Kg)	245±5	205±5	179 ±8	246±4

Table 2: Experimental setup

#### Food and weight consumption monitoring

A 14-day adaptation period preceded the start of performance measurement to allow the animals to get used to the experimental rations

### Food consumption

Food consumption or quantity of food ingested (IAQ) was calculated from the quantities of food distributed and the quantities refused. The food offered was weighed before being distributed in the morning and each animal's refusals were collected and weighed every morning before the daily ration was distributed. Feeders constructed from recovery tires. 10 liter metal buckets for watering. A 2 kg spring scale for weighing food

### Live weight (kg)

The live weight (PV) was measured every two weeks using a scale of maximum weight 1000kg. The weighings were done on an empty stomach in the morning before the daily ration was distributed.

Evaluation of the average daily gain (GMQ) and the consumption index (IC)

Daily Average Gain (GMQ) indicates the average speed of growth during a given period. It was calculated according to equation 1. As for the Consumption Index (CI) which is a number without unit, it reflects the efficiency of food use over the period studied. Otherwise, it is the amount of food consumed by the animal during a given period to produce 1 kg of flesh. The CI is calculated using equation 2.

$$GMQ = \frac{\frac{Final Weight (PF) - Initial Weight (PI)}{Number of days}}{(Equation 1)}$$

 $IC = \frac{IAQ(g) \text{ over a given period}}{Weight gain(g) \text{ over the same period}}$ (Equation 2)

#### Determination of chemical composition

They were carried out at the GRN analysis laboratory at Farakobâ station and at the Animal Nutrition Laboratory at the Center for Agricultural Environmental Research and Training (CREAF) at INERA in Kamboinsé. They concerned the samples of soybean corn husks and Panicum maximum C1. On the different samples, we determined:

Dry Matter (MS) obtained by drying at 105 ° C in an oven for 24 hours;

Mineral Matter (MM) or ash by passing the dry sample through an oven at 550 ° C for 3 hours;

the Organic Matter (MO) obtained by difference between the MS and the ashes (MM);

Total Nitrogenous Matter (MAT) by the classic method of KJELDAHL. According to this method, mineralization followed by distillation provides the percentage of nitrogen in the sample. The MAT is then estimated by

applying the coefficient 6.25 conventionally used to the percentage of nitrogen (% N)  $\,$ 

#### Statistical analyzes

The data collected were entered in the Excel version 2010 spreadsheet. The analysis of this data was carried out using R software (R-Development-core-team, 2013). Variance analysis (ANOVA) was applied. Bartlett's test or that of Student Newman and Keuls at the 5% threshold were used for the separation of variances when the analysis revealed a difference between the means. Furthermore, when necessary, the Bonferronni method was used for the correction of probabilities as recommended in the event of repeated tests (Rice, 1989). The graphs and tables have been plotted using the Excel version 2010 spreadsheet.

## Results

#### Chemical composition of food

Table 3 gives the average chemical composition of the foods that contributed to the formulation of the rations used in the study. These are Panicum maximum C1 straw, soybeans, corn husks (Espoir, Wari, SR21), cottonseed, production complex.

Table	3:	Chemical	com	position	of	food
IUNIC	σ.	Unchinear	00111	position	U.	1000

Foodo	Food chemical component				
F000S	MS (%MB)	MO (%MS)	MAT (%MS)	MM (%MS)	
Panicum maximum C1	94,91	87,84	3,54	7,07	
Soy bean	94,3	87,56	7,36	6,74	
Spathe(Espoir)	94,65	96,49	2,23	3,51	
Spathe(Wari)	94,54	94,79	1,75	5,21	
Spathe(SR21)	96,13	96,44	2,24	3,56	
cotton seed	96,70	86,39	38,81	5,24	
Production complex	95,01	91,2	13,68	3,81	

MS: Dry matter; MB: Raw material; MO: Organic matter; MAT: Total nitrogenous matter; MM: Mineral matter

The dry matter content varies according to the nature of the food, legumes, grasses and food production complex. From the observation of these values it appears that the dry matter rate differs very little depending on the food. In fact, it is 94.91% at the level of Panicum maximum C1, the production food and 95%, 96.70%, the soybeans and the cotton seeds respectively 94.3% and 96.70%. Corn husks vary from 94.3 to 96.13%. Dry matter can be divided into ash and organic matter (OM). The MO of the food produced (91.20%) is higher than that of soybean haulm and Panicum maximum C1 by +3 points and +5 for cotton seeds. The OM of the spathes is higher than that of the production food by + 5 points.

Nitrogen is an important element in plant and animal growth. The results of the analysis show that the cotton seeds (38.81%), the production complex (13.68%) and the soybeans (7.36%) are higher than the maximum

Panicum C1 (3.54%) and corn husks (Espoir, Wari, SR21) respectively 2.23%, 1.75%, 2.24%. We have a high MM content in soybeans (6.74%), Panicum straw. maximum C1 (7.07%) compared to the production feed (3.81%) and corn husks (5.30%).

# Evolution of the biweekly consumption by batch (g / P0.75)

The minimum average food consumption of 118.75  $\pm$  20.58 g / Kgp0.75, of 136.38  $\pm$  31.04 g / Kgp0.75; of 150.99  $\pm$  35.89 g / Kgp0.75 and 118.77  $\pm$  50.58 g / Kgp0.75 was obtained at the start of the experiment respectively for the rations (1,2, 3,4). At the second week of the test, the difference was significant between the consumption of the four food rations (p <0.01). The maximum average values of food consumption for the

Available online at http://www.resjournals.com/agriculture-science-research-journals/

four rations (1, 2, 3, 4) were obtained at the 12th week (Table 4). The difference was not significant between the average bi-weekly food consumption of ration 1 and ration 4 (p> 0.05). On the other hand, this difference was significant between these two rations 2 and 3 and compared to the other two rations1 and 4 (p> 0.05). The difference was significant between the average

consumption of the four food rations (p < 0.001). Figure 1 and 2 respectively show the evolution and variability of consumption of the four rations consumed. The coefficients of variation of the consumption of rations 1 and 4 were small (0.008 and 0.008) compared to rations 2 and 3 (0.011 and 0.013).

Table 4: Evolution of consumption per batch (g / P0.75) as a function of metabolic weight

	Evolution of weekly cattle consumption					
Weeks	Lot1(P.maximumC1)	Lot2(Wari)	Lot3 (Espoir)	Lot4(SR21)	Pr(>F)	
S2 S4	118.75±20.58	136.38±31.04	150.99±35.89	118.77±50.58	0.00191 **	
S6 S8	119,09±24,68 120,09±34,35	137,18±78,23 137,71±78,08	152,22±38,05 152,11±48,89	120,50±48,25 119,11±35,85	0,08394 0,08647.	
S10 S12	120,58±44,85 120,75±58,78	138,02±68,98 138,41±35,24	152,99±35,77 153,27±35,28	119,53±45,58 120,52±78,84	0,40811 0,40701	
Average	121,12±35,92 120,07±40,95a	140,92±45.02 138,10±68,55b	156,89±25,65 153,08±35,03c	121,15±25,45 119,93±50,93a	0,00463 ** 2,2e-16 ***	
CV	0,008	0,011	0,013	0,008		

On the same line, the letters abc indicate membership in different groups according to the Student Newman and Keuls test at the 5% threshold. CV = coefficient of variation Prob: Probability; Significant. P codes <0.1; \*: P <0.05; \*\*: P <0.01; \*\*\*: P <0.001.



Figure 1: Evolution of biweekly feed consumption of cattle

#### Evolution of the biweekly bodyweight of cattle

The average bodyweight of cattle fed ration 1 varied from  $3.25 \pm 1.24$  to  $15 \pm 3.58$  kg. That of animals fed with ration 2 varied from 5.5  $\pm$  1.45 to 17.25  $\pm$  3.79 kg, for ration3 varied from 6.5  $\pm$  2.28 to 23  $\pm$  4.58 kg and 3.5  $\pm$ 1.25 kg to 13.25  $\pm$  3.59 kg in animals fed ration 4 with variation coefficients respectively 0.472; 0.407; 0.429 and 0.405 (Table 5). From the start of the experiment to the 56th day, a significant difference was observed in terms of the average bodyweight of the animals fed the four rations (P> 0.001). The average bodyweight of the four rations did not show any significant difference (P> 0.05). The comparison of the live weight of the rations shows that there is no significant difference between rations 1 and 4. The two rations (2, 3) have a significant difference between them (P < 0.05). The same significant differences are observed between rations 1 and 4 with



Figure 2: Variability in weekly feed consumption of cattle

rations 2 and 3 (P> 0.05) table n  $^{\circ}$  5. Figures 3 and 4 respectively show the evolution and variability of the bodyweight of the four rations.

Table 5:	Evolution	of the	biweekly	bodyweigh
----------	-----------	--------	----------	-----------

	Evolution of body	_			
Weeks	Lot1(Panicum)	Lot2(Wari)	Lot3(Espoir)	Lot4(SR21)	Pr(>F)
S2	3.25±1.24	5.5±1.45	6.5±2.28	3.5±1.25	6.75e-10 ***
S4	6,25±2,05	7,75±2,89	10,5±4,58	6,75±2,05	3,48e-08 ***
S6	9,25±3,45	10,75±4,35	13,75±4,28	9,25±1,79	4,04e-06 ***
S8	12,5±4,89	14±3,24	16,75±5,27	11±3,58	0,00133 **
S10	15±4,58	17,25±3,25	23±5,57	13,25±4,27	1,00000
S12	15±3,58	17,25±3,79	23±4,58	13,25±3,59	1,00000
Average	10,21±4,82a	12,08±4,92b	15,58±6,68c	9,50±3,85a	0,2069
CV	0.472	0.407	0.429	0.405	

On the same line, the letters abc indicate membership in different groups according to the Student Newman and Keuls test at the 5% threshold. CV = coefficient of variation Prob: Probability; Significant. P codes <0.1; \*: P <0.05; \*\*: P <0.01; \*\*\*: P <0.001



Figure 3: Evolution of the average weekly bodyweight of cattle Figure 4: Variability in average weekly bodyweight in cattle

#### Evolution of the biweekly average GMQ of cattle

The results show that the average daily gains in the second week of experiencing significant difference from the four rations (p <0.001), 232.14  $\pm$  34.32g / d, 392.86  $\pm$  45.87g / d, 464.29  $\pm$  17.65g / d, and 250  $\pm$  13.56g / d respectively, for the rations (1, 2, 3 and 4) Table 6. The difference between the average daily gain of cattle fed on

feed 1 and that of cattle fed on food ration 4 was not significant (P> 0.05). On the other hand, a difference was significant between the average GMQ of cattle fed with food ration 2 compared to those of cattle fed with food rations 3 (p <0.05). A difference was observed between the two rations (1, 4) and the rations (2,3). Figures 5 and 6 respectively show the evolution and variability of the average GMQs of the four rations.

Table 6: Evolution of the GMQ and biweekly consumption index

	Evolution GMQ(g	)			
Weeks	lot1(Panicum)	Lot2(Wari)	Lot3(Espoir)	Lot4(SR21)	Pr(>F)
S2 S4 S6 S8 S10 S12 Average CV	232,14±34,32 223,21±26,12 220,24±74.23 223,21±29,43 214,29±19,34 178,57±23,87 215,28±18,89a 0.088	392,86±45,87 276,79±23,12 255,95±37,50 250±38,89 246,43±21,76 205,36±45,78 271,23±63,97b 0,236	$464,29\pm17,65$ $375\pm23,65$ $327,38\pm23,56$ $299,11\pm65,12$ $328,57\pm32,54$ $273,81\pm23,65$ $344,69\pm67,65c$ 0,196	$250\pm13,56$ $241,07\pm34,32$ $220,24\pm23,45$ $196,43\pm35,54$ $189,29\pm29,43$ $157,74\pm23,65$ $209,13\pm34,67a$ 0,166	0,000485 *** 0,111825 0,586581 0.905467 0,905467 0,063471. 0,0004698 ***

On the same line, the letters abc indicate membership in different groups according to the Student Newman and Keuls test at the 5% threshold. CV = coefficient of variation Prob: Probability; Significant. P codes <0.1; \*: P <0.05; \*\*: P <0.01; \*\*\*: P <0.001





The average consumption indices recorded during the experiment varied from  $0.58 \pm 0.31$  to  $0.97 \pm 0.68$  (Table 7). Figures 7 and 8 shows the biweekly evolution and



Figure 6: Variability of the average weekly GMQ of cattle

variability of the ration consumption indices over the duration of the trial. The average consumption indices of cattle fed the four rations were not significantly different (P> 0.05).

Table 7: Evolution o	f consumption	indices
----------------------	---------------	---------

	_				
Weeks	Lot1(Panicum)	Lot2(Wari)	Lot3 (Espoir)	Lot4(SR21)	Pr(>F)
S2 S4 S6 S8 S10 S12 Average CV	2,26±0,96 1,18±0,86 0,80±0,14 0,59±0,10 0,50±0,18 0,50±0,21 0,97±0,68a	$1,34\pm0,65$ $0,96\pm0,24$ $0,69\pm0,32$ $0,53\pm0,12$ $0,43\pm0,13$ $0,44\pm0,16$ $0,73\pm0,36a$	1,14±0,56 0,71±0,17 0,54±0,12 0,45±0,16 0,33±0,12 0,33±0,18 0,58±0,31a	2,11±0,47 1,11±0,35 0,80±0,24 0,68±0,12 0,57±0,13 0,57±0,15 0,97±0,59a	1,05e-07 *** 0,00114 ** 0,07575 . 0,43246 0,43246 0,97040 0.4838

On the same line, the letters abc indicate membership in different groups according to the Student Newman and Keuls test at the 5% threshold. CV = coefficient of variation Prob: Probability; Significant. P codes <0.1; \*: P <0.05; \*\*: P <0.01; \*\*\*: P <0.001



Consumption index 2,0 1.5 1,0 0,5 Lot1 Lot2 Lot3 Lot4

Figure 7: Evolution of the biweekly average consumption index for cattle

Figure 8: Variability of the average weekly cattle consumption index

The final average weights are  $260.00 \pm 5.00$  kg,  $205.00 \pm 5.00$  kg,  $179.00 \pm 9.00$  kg respectively for rations 1, 2, 3. and 4 Table 8.

Table 8: Animal zootechnical performance

Parameters	Lot1(Panicum)	Lot2(Wari)	Lot3 (Espoir)	Lot4(SR21)
Initial weight (kg)	245,00±5,00	205,00±5,00	179,00±9,00	246,00±4,00
Final weight (kg)	260,00 ±5,00	222,25 ±5,00	202,50 ±8,00	259,75 ±4,00
Bodyweight (kg)	10,21±4,82a	12,08±4,92b	15,58±6,68c	9,50±3,85a
GMQ (g/j)	215,28±18,89a	271,23±63,97b	344,69±67,65c	209,13±34,67a
Consumption (g/P <sup>0.75</sup> )	120,07±40,95a	138,10±68,55b	153, 08±35,03c	119,93±50,93a
Consumption index	0,97±0.68a	0,73±0.36a	0,58±0.31a	0,97±0.59a

# Discussion

#### Nutritional values of rations

The contents measured in organic matter, total nitrogenous matter, mineral matter of soybean haulms (7.36%) were similar to those obtained by many authors (Rivière, 1991, Mame 1998, Zoungrana et al. 1999, Zoungrana, 2010). La value of total nitrogenous matter of maximum Panicum C1 (3.54%); corn husks Hope (2.23%); SR21 (2.24%) determined is in the range of 2 to 5% (Abdou, 1998) except the wari spathe (1.75%). The total nitrogen values of the production food (13.68%) is less than 38.81% (Abdou, 1998, Chenost et al., 1997).

In the first 14-day trials in which corn husks were freely distributed to cattle, the ingestibility (amount of dry matter voluntarily ingested) was much more variable from one corn spathe to another. The differences in ingestion observed between varieties could not be linked to differences in morphological composition (proportion of ears at approach of maturity) or chemical composition (contents of nitrogenous matter or crude cellulose). Their causes are therefore unknown, but probably result, as for the classic fodder of grasses and legumes (Demarquilly et al., 1969), from differences in the kinetics of digestion in the rumen. The amount ingested is practically independent of the stage of development of the plant. The optimum harvest stage is that when the quantity of dry matter harvested per hectare is maximum, that is to say at the vitreous stage of the grain. The DM content of the plant (33-35%) is then ideal for obtaining a good quality of conservation of the spathes (Demarquilly, 1994).

Finally, we know that the nitrogen content of corn spathe is most often insufficient to cover the nitrogen needs not only of animals, even those kept in the vicinity of the interview, but also of the microorganisms in their rumen. At this level we used a complex (taurified soybean seed and cottonseed meal) and 1 kg of cottonseed each evening. Corn silage must therefore be properly supplemented with nitrogen (and also minerals) to achieve its potential ingestion and nutritional value. Distribution of the energy supplements necessary to cover the needs of animals with high production levels. Molasses is a concentrate of sugar rich in minerals (Ca, P, K..) and vitamins, which would have contributed to improving the food use efficiency of the different rations (Areghore and Perera, 2004) The chemical composition of fresh corn husks consist of DM (53%), mineral matter 4% DM, 5% total nitrogenous matter, 29% crude fiber, 0.8 g / kgMS calcium, 1.9 g / kgMS phosphorus (INRA,

1988)). The dietary values of fresh corn husks are 0.79 UFL / KgMS, 0.72 UFV / KgMS, 15 g / kgMS of PDIA, 31 g / kgMS of PDIN 73 g / kgMS of PDIE (INRA, 1988). According to Jarrige et al., (1978) changes in the morphological and physiological characteristics of the plant with age lead to a decrease in its ingestibility. The average NDF, ADF and ADL contents of the whole corn plant are respectively 47.1%, 22.9%, 2.5% DM (INRA, 2007) and 39.9%, 22.0%, 1.8% DM (Khan et al., 2014). The MAT content of the plant organs (stems, grains, spathes and stems) changes little with maturity. (Peyrat, 2014). The MAT of the grain then represents 65% of the MAT of the whole plant at a stage of maturity of 35% DM of the whole plant (Kuehn et al., 1999). Finally, the mineral content (ash) is also relatively low. It represents 5 to 7% of the whole plant (Demarquilly, 1994), 2% of the ear and 6% of the stem (Demarquilly, 1969) and is relatively constant with the advancement of the stage of maturity (Schittenhelm, 2008).

### Food consumption

During the dry season, agricultural by-products (SPA) are the main source of food for livestock. The availability of these crop residues in the dry season makes it possible to take over from the routes used in the rainy season (Ouattara, 2014). The corn husks and Panicum maximum C1 were completely consumed throughout the duration of the test. For the total ration, the refusal rate which was on average 30% DM of the distributed dropped to 10% DM by the end of the trial for all the batches. The average food consumption of the four rations are 120.07 ± 40.95 g / kg P0.75, 138.10 ± 68.55 g / kg P0.75, 153.08 ± 35.03 g / kg P0.75, 119, 93 ± 50.93g / kg P0.75. In real environment, daily consumption, Fall-Touré et al.(1997) obtained a consumption of 134, 123 and 124 g / kg P0.75 our results are lower than those of Fall-Toure et al., (1997); which were t of 7.8; 8 to 7.5 Kg MS per head respectively for three lots. In 1994, these consumptions were 113 and 133 g / kg P0.75 respectively for lots 1 and 2 in the same localities with a ration comprising millet stalks, millet bran, peanut meal, salt and mineral blocks to lick. Our results are superior to those of Traoré et al., (1995) who observed consumption of 92 and 95 g / kg P0.75 respectively for rations containing 25 and 52 p100 of fresh leaves of Leucaena leucocephala. Kini, 2018, obtained quantities of MSI ranging from 3,824.52 g / animal / day for ration 1 and 4,410.87 g / animal / day for ration 2 at young bulls receiving a nitrogen supplement from the Faidherbia pod albida. These results confirm the data from our study for lot1 at the straw stage of Panicum maximum C1. The quantities ingested are 120.07 ± 40.95 MS / kg P0.75, 119.93 ± 50.94 MS / kgP0.75 respectively for lot (1) and lot (4). Significant difference between these two lots (p <0.05). At the lot level (2 and 3) we have respectively 138.10 ± 68.55 MS / kgP0.75 and. 153.08 ± 35.03 MS / kg P0.75. The values of lot 2 and lot 3 are higher than 30g / kgp0.75 for the straws announced by Hoden, 1978 for sheep. These values are higher than those found by (Richard et al., 1987, Guerin et al., 1987, Richard, 1987) for tropical grasses find average intakes of  $66 \pm 11.6g$  in the dry season. With the differentiation of the organs the quantities ingested decrease. This variation is linked to the physical structure of the plant (Allison, 1985) and to its chemical composition, in particular its MAT and NDF level (Demarquilly, 1987). Van Soest (1965) suggests that when the NDF rate increases above 55 to 60%. It can become the limiting factor of ingestion.

### Weight gain in cattle

At the end of the experiment we obtained GMQs of 215.28  $\pm$  18.89 g / d, 271.23  $\pm$  63.97 g / d, 344.69  $\pm$  67.65 g / d, 209.13  $\pm$  34.67 g / d respectively for rations 1, 2 3, 4. Our results were lower than other authors, Kini, 2018, obtained GMQs of 486.11 g / d for lot 1 and 623, 02 g / d for lot 2. Fall et al. (1997) found GMQs higher than 1100, 615 g / d respectively for ration 1 and 2, however ration 3 (173 g / d) was lower than our results. Traoré et al (1995) who observed consumption of 92 and 95 g / kg P0.75 respectively for rations containing 25 and 52 p100 of fresh leaves of Leucaena leucocephala these data are lower than our results.

The weight evolution observed was different from one batch to another, which illustrates a highly significant exploitation effect (P < 0.001). For a young calf with an average weight of 200 kg, the energy and nitrogen contributions allowed a daily weight gain of 1100 and 1400g respectively (INRA, 1989).

Gnanda et al., (2015), a survey on the implementation of the bovine RTE test fattener shows that the fattening cattle monitored expressed positive weight growth. The weight gains were more interesting in terms of animals having were fed ration 2 (700  $\pm$  106 g / d) and 5 (1667  $\pm$ 87 g / d) and to a lesser extent those who were fed ration 3 (483  $\pm$  64 g / d). ration 4 (417  $\pm$  41 g / d) in MAD and in UF, the animals in this ration were as well as those in ration 1 (400  $\pm$  56 g / d) less efficient in weight gains (Gnanda et al., 2015). A balanced MAD / UF ratio is always necessary to induce better fattening performance in animals in total housing (Gnanda et al., 2000).

# Conclusion

This experiment on corn byproducts and spathes has made it possible to better optimize their use by animals. In fact, the objectives of this study have been achieved in so far as the introduction of corn husks and soybeans has improved the efficiency of using rations and the weight performance of cattle. In tests 1 and 4, the average daily quantities of dry matter ingested by the cattle receiving the corn spatula SR21 and the straw of Panicum maximum C1 did not present any significant difference at the threshold of 5%, respectively  $120.07 \pm 40.95 \text{ g} / \text{kg P} 0.75$  and  $119.93 \pm 50.93 \text{ g} / \text{kg P} 0.75$  on the other hand, the best intakes are obtained with the hop corn and wari spathe, which are respectively 153.08  $\pm 35.03 \text{ g} / \text{kg P} 0.75$  and  $138.10 \pm 68.55 \text{ g} / \text{kg P} 0.75$ . Cattle showed a strong preference during the feeding period for hop and wari corn husks over other forage categories. Consequently, we suggest that this study be continued with all varieties of corn to confirm the validity of the results.

# References

- Allison, L. E., Bollen, W.B. & Moddie, C.D. (1965). Total carbon. Pages 1346-1365 in C.A. Black et al., Eds., Methods of soil analysis. Agronomy no! 9. ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Abdou D. G., (1998). Influence of the type of forage and the different levels of millet bran supplementation on the growth and slaughter performance of sheep in Niger. Institute Agronomic and veterinary Hassan II Rabat (Morocco). 71p
- Aregehore EM, Perera D (2004). Effects of Erythrina variegata, gliricida sepium and Leucoena Leucocephola on: dry mather intake and nutrient digestibility of maize stover before and after spraying with molasses. Animal. Feed Science and Technology, 111: 191-201.
- Arriola, K.G., Kim, S.C., Staples, C.R., Adesogan, A.T., (2012). Stay-green ranking and maturity of corn hybrids: 2. Effects on the performance of lactating dairy cows. Newspaper
- of Dairy Science 95 (2), 975-985.
- Arsić, S., Kljajić, N., Vuković, P., (2012). Economic profitability of fodder production for young cattle fattening. Economic Insights-Trends and Challenges 1 (3), 39-46.
- Barrière Y., Alber D., Doltra O., Lapierre V., Motto M., Ordas A., Van Waes J., Vlasminkel, L., Welcker C., Monod, J.P., (2005). Past and prospects of drilling maize breeding in Europe. 1. The grass cell wall as a basis of genetic variation and future improvements in feeding value. Maydica 50, 259-274.
- Chenost M. and Kayouli C., (1997). Use of roughage in hot regions. Animal production and health. Rome (Italy). 1997. 226 p
- Demarquilly, C., (1969). Nutritional value of fodder corn: 1. Chemical composition and digestibility of standing corn. Annales de Zootechnie 18 (1), 17-32.
- Demarquilly C. and Andrien J., (1987). Digestibility and ingestibility of green fodder in sheep: respective effects of feeding level and age or weight of animals, 2 of the nutri day. Herb. Paris. 1986, nutri., D2v., Vol., 27, N °. 1B, 281-282.
- Demarquilly C., (1994). Factors affecting the nutritional value of corn silage. INRA, animal production. 7 (3), 177-189.
- DGPSA / MAHRH; (2009). 2009/2010 campaign review
- Gnanda B.I., bougoumayameogoV.M., Wereme-N'Diaye A. Ouedraogo T. Kabore A. Loudoun B. Sinon B. (2015). Bovine fattening in farms in the central plateau of Burkina Faso: economic results of a process to validate a technicaleconomic benchmark on speculation. In J. Biol, Chem.Sci 9 (6) 2648-2662 December 2015, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v9i6.11
- Gnanda BI, Nianogo JA, Kafando A., Zoundi SJ (2000. Influence of the nature of the complement and the nature of the complement and the feeding behavior on the growth of heifers in the dry season in Burkina Faso. Journal Sciences et techniques, Sciences Naturelles and Agronomics 24 (2) 42-56 DOJ htt // revue CNRST..bf / index / about.pdf.
- Guerin H., Friot D., Mbaye. ND., Falls T., Richard D., Diop M., Correa A., Ndiaye I., Bat.M., (1987). Ingestion of fodder from natural rangelands in the Sahelian zone: measurement

in housing and grazing. Reprod. Nutr. Develop., 1987 (1B), 197-198.

- Hoden A. (1978). Rationing at the start of lactation. In: The dairy cow, INRA Publications, 71-86
- Jarrige r. Hoden, (1978). Food and water consumption. In jarrire et al. Feeding of ruminants 177-206 INRA-Paris (27D.1980).
- Lemoufouet J., Tendonkeng F, Miegone E, Soumo SN, MBALMAImaissem B, Fagang Zogang B, Mboko AV, Matumuini FNE, Boukila B, Pamo TE, (2014). Ingestion and digestibility in sheep of corn stubble treated with urea associated with molasses. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 26 Article # 45 retrieved March 10, 2013, from http://www.lrrd.org/irrd26/3/lemo /26045.html.
- Khan N.A., Yu P., Ali M., Cone, J.W., Hendriks, W.H., (2014). Nutritive value of maize silage in relation to dairy cow performance and milk quality. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture (available online).
- Kini L. (2018). Use of pods of Faidherbia albida (Del.) A. Chev in the feeding of cattle in urban and peri-urban areas of the city of Banfora (province of Comoé).
- End of cycle dissertation with a view to obtaining the IDR / UNB rural development engineer diploma option: breeding 37p
- Kuehn C.S., Linn J.G., Johnson D.G., Jung H.G., Endres M.I., (1999). Effect of feeding silages from corn hybrids selected for leafiness or grain to lactating dairy cattle. J. Dairy sci., 82, 2746-2755
- Riviere R., 1991. Feeding domestic ruminants in the tropics. Ministry of Cooperation. Paris, France). 529 p
- INRA (1989). Ruminant nutrition. Recommended allowances, Feed tables. INRA John Libbey Eurotext. 389p
- Richard D., 1987. Nutritional value of 4 forage grasses in the tropics. Thesis Doct. 3rd cycle Paris VI. 314 p.
- Richard D., Friot D., Guerin H. and Roberge G. (1987). The ingestibility of fodder grasses grown in tropical breeding areas. Nutr. Develop. 1987, 27 (1B) 195-196
- Mame N. D., (1998). Valorization of cowpea crop residues in animal feed. Preliminary study. Senegal. 5 p
- Fall-Touré S.T, Traoré E, N'Diaye K, N'Dèye S N, Sèye B M. (1997). Use of Faidherbia albida fruits for feeding cattle fattening peasants in the groundnut basin in Senegal. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 9 (5): 19p.
- Peyrat JULIE, (2014). Digestion of starch and plant walls of fodder maize in ruminants: consequences on the evaluation of its nutritional value. Doctoral School of Life Sciences, Health, Agronomy, Environment, thesis, university doctor (animal nutrition specialty), 275p.
- Lemoufouet J, Tendonkeng F, Miegoue G, Azoutane J, Matumuini F.N.E, Fogang BZ, Mboko A.V. Boukila B, and Pamo E.T. (2014). Effect of molasses on the intake and digestibility of corn stubble treated with 28% chicken droppings in small ruminants. Int.J.Biol.Chem. Sci. 8 (4) 1581-1593 August 2014 Issn 1997- 342 (online) ISSN 1991-8631 (print) http: index medicus.frewho.int.
- Ouattara A.K. (2014). Inventory and characterization of livestock food resources in the Bobo Diuolasso area and production of fodder crops. Master thesis Master diploma in animal production and industries. IDR / UNB 70p
- Sanou J .; (1996). Analysis of the genetic variability of local maize cultivars in the West African Savannah area with a view to its management and use, Doctoral thesis, ENSA Montpellier, France, 98p
- Schittenhelm S., (2008). Chemical composition and methane yield of maize hybrids with contrasting maturity. European Journal of Agronomy 29, 72-7
- Van Soest, P.J. (1965). Symposium on factors influencing the voluntary intake of herbage by ruminant: voluntary intake in relation to chemical composition and digestibility. J. anim. Sci 24: 834.

- Traore E H, Fall S T and Friot D (1995). Influence of the rate of Leucaena leucocephala on the growth and consumption of ruminants. Comparison of the behavior of cattle and sheep Communication presented at the workshop seminar on intensive meat production in sub-Saharan Africa Mbour (Senegal) March 13-17, 1995.
- Yanra J.D., (2006). Management of food resources for optimizing the productivity of herds in agro-pastoral areas, DEA thesis in GIRN, animal production option, UPB, 47 p.
- Zoungrana B., (2010). Study of the production, chemical composition and digestibility of forage legumes in sheep in Burkina Faso. Thesis at the end of the breeding engineer cycle. Rural Development Institute / Polytechnic University of Bobo-Dioulasso. 65 p
- Zoungrana –Kabor C., Toguyeni A., Sana Y. (1999). Ingestibility and digestibility in the hay sheep of five tropical grasses. RevueElev .. Méd.Vét. country too., 1999, 52 (2): 147-153